

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Hiroshi NAGAHAMA

Application No.: 10/534,714

Filed: October 06, 2005

For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
☐ Applicant is entitled to small entity status under 37 CFR 1.27
☐ Also attached:

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	NO. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEE
Total Claims	7	20	0	\$50.00 =	\$0.00
Independent Claims	1	3	0	\$200.00 =	\$0.00
Multiple dependent claims newly presented					\$0.00
Fee for extension of time					\$0.00
					\$0.00
Total of Above Calculations					\$0.00

- ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 500417 in the amount of \$0.00. An additional copy of this transmittal sheet is submitted herewith.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 500417, including any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for presentation of extra claims and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Michael E. Fogarty

Registration No. 36,139

Please recognize our Customer No. 20277 as our
correspondence address.600 13th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3096

Phone: 202.756.8000 MEF:TT:lnm

Facsimile: 202.756.8087

Date: December 22, 2006

WDC99 1327652-1.077494.0048

Docket No.: 077494-0048

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


In re Application of

Hiroshi NAGAHAMA

Application No.: 10/534,714

Filed: October 06, 2005

For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE



Customer Number: 20277

Confirmation Number: 6869

Group Art Unit: 2871

Examiner: James A. Dudek

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Reconsideration of the rejection imposed in the Office Action dated September 22, 2006,
is solicited in light of the following Remarks.